

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10082810 2



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
FACULTY OF LAW

NOTE:

UNDER-  
GRADUATE  
PROGRAM

GREEN COVER

# Copyright, Trademarks, and Patent Fall 2018

*Patent*

V.3

Professor Abraham Drassinower

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

KE  
2799  
.D73  
2018  
v.3  
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

SEP - 7 2018

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
FACULTY OF LAW


# Copyright, Trademarks, and Patent Fall 2018

*Patent*

V.3

Professor Abraham Drassinower

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2023 with funding from  
University of Toronto



# Volume 3

## Patent

### Table of Contents

#### Presumption of Validity

<i>Diversified Products Corp. v. Tye-Sil Corp.</i> (1991), 35 C.P.R. (3d) 350 (F.C.A.) .....	1
--	---

#### Novelty

<i>Reeves Brothers Inc. v. Toronto Quilting &amp; Embroidery Ltd.</i> (1978), 43 C.P.R. (2d) 145 (F.C.T.D.).....	4
<i>Diversified Products Corp. v. Tye-Sil Corp.</i> (1991), 35 C.P.R. (3d) 350 (F.C.A.) .....	8
<i>Apotex Inc. v. Sanofi-Synthelabo Canada Inc.</i> , 2008 SCC 61 .....	15

#### Obviousness/Inventive Step

<i>Beecham Canada Ltd. et al. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> (1982), 61 C.P.R. (2d) 1 (F.C.A.).....	26
<i>Beloit Canada Ltd. et al. v. Valmet Oy</i> (1986), 8 C.P.R. (3d) 289 (F.C.A.) .....	27
<i>Diversified Products Corp. v. Tye-Sil Corp.</i> (1991), 35 C.P.R. (3d) 350 (F.C.A.) .....	30
<i>Apotex Inc. v. Sanofi-Synthelabo Canada Inc.</i> , 2008 SCC 61 .....	38

#### Utility

<i>X v. Commissioner of Patents</i> (1981), 59 C.P.R. (2d) 7 (F.C.A.) .....	52
<i>Minerals Separation North American Corp. v. Noranda Mines Ltd.</i> (1952), 15 C.P.R. 133 (J.C.P.C.).....	55
<i>Burton Parsons Chemicals, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Ltd.</i> , [1976] 1 S.C.R. 555 (S.C.C.).....	60
<i>Apotex Inc. v. Wellcome Foundation Ltd.</i> , [2002] 4 S.C.R. 153 .....	67
<i>AstraZeneca Canada Inc. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , [2017] 1 S.C.R. 943 .....	89

#### Subject Matter

<i>Harvard College v. Canada (Commissioner of Patents)</i> , [2002] 4 S.C.R. 45 .....	102
---	-----

#### Sufficiency of the Patent Specification

<i>Consolboard Inc. v. MacMillan Bloedel (Saskatchewan) Ltd.</i> , [1981] 1 S.C.R. 504 (S.C.C.) .....	159
<i>Beecham Canada Ltd. et al. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> (1982), 61 C.P.R. (2d) 1 (F.C.A.).....	170
<i>Teva Canada Ltd. v. Pfizer Canada Inc.</i> 2012 SCC 60.....	175

#### Infringement

<i>Catnic Components Ltd. v. Hill and Smith Ltd.</i> , [1982] R.P.C. 183 (H.L.) .....	202
<i>Beecham Canada Ltd. et al. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> (1982), 61 C.P.R. (2d) 1 (F.C.A.).....	207
<i>O'Hara Manufacturing Ltd. v. Eli Lilly &amp; Co.</i> (1989), 26 C.P.R. (3d) 1 (F.C.A.) .....	211
<i>Camco Inc. and General Electric Company v. Whirlpool Corporation and Inglis Ltd.</i> , [2000] 2 S.C.R. 1067, (S.C.C.).....	217

